

Exhibit 9

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK - CIVIL TERM - PART 12

-----X
In The Matter of the Application of
MARIAH LOPEZ,

Petitioner, Index No.
100632/17

-against-

NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT HOMELESS SERVICES,

Respondent.
-----X

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE 60 Centre Street
New York, New York
May 31, 2017

B E F O R E:

HONORABLE BARBARA JAFFE,

SUPREME COURT JUSTICE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

MARIAH LOPEZ
PRO SE

NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT
Attorneys For the Defendant
100 Church Street
New York, New York 10007
BY: THOMAS B. ROBERTS, ESQ.

JACKSON LEWIS
Attorneys For the Project Renewal
666 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10172
BY: KEVIN CONNOLLY, ESQ.

CHERYL-LEE LORIENT
SENIOR COURT REPORTER

CL

Proceedings

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.

MR. CONNOLLY: Good morning.

MR. ROBERTS: Good morning.

THE COURT: So, we have a new file here.

And, before we begin, Mr. Roberts, and your last name?

MR. CONNOLLY: Kevin Connolly from Project
Renewal, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, were you served with new
papers?

MR. CONNOLLY: I don't know what new papers
you are referring to, your Honor.

MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, if you want a short
answer, the answer is no. But, I have an explanation
that I would think would be reasonable when you --

THE COURT: I always like a short answer.
That's good. Okay. No, I don't need an explanation
right now. Mr. Roberts?

MR. ROBERTS: So, I have not seen the papers
that were filed on Friday other than the order that
Judge Nervo signed. So, I don't know what the
supporting papers were that went to that. I do want to
alert the Court to procedural matters.

THE COURT: Let's first get the housekeeping
out of the way. Oh, to procedural matters?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, your Honor.

Proceedings

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

THE COURT: Tell me.

MR. ROBERTS: So, first Ms. Lopez submitted papers in Federal Court before Judge Caproni yesterday. And, Judge Caproni has ordered us all to appear in front of her at noon today. So, I just want to advise you of that.

MS. LOPEZ: I won't be seeking identical relief. No problem.

MR. ROBERTS: I think that --

MS. LOPEZ: I said, I will not be seeking identical relief.

MR. ROBERTS: So, I read her papers, to Judge Caproni, to seek identical relief. But, anyway maybe I misread them.

The other matter is that Ms. Lopez was arrested on the, I believe the 24th and now has a criminal case pending in the Bronx with a felony charge and three misdemeanor charges according to the public.doc. I am very concerned.

I assume she has been appointed counsel by Legal Aid or some such organization in the Bronx. I phoned and left a voicemail message with Legal Aid in the Bronx -- I didn't talk to anybody on Friday afternoon -- just saying, you know, that "Your client has a civil proceeding going on that probably will

Proceedings

1
2 enter into the same factual basis that your criminal
3 case is about. And, I'm personally uncomfortable
4 talking to Ms. Lopez."

5 Now, because I think she's represented and
6 the matter, part of the suspension, involves the events
7 that resulted in her arrest, so I just alert you to
8 this kind of problem.

9 And, I don't want to -- I don't know whether
10 I'm Mirandizing her or what, but I'm not trying to
11 elicit from her testimony about those events, but I
12 think we'll have to confront them. And, I think it is
13 a problem, because she is represented in the Bronx, but
14 not here.

15 So, I'm not sure I have a solution, but I
16 thought you should be aware that this is the problem
17 that I am seeing right now.

18 MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, good morning. So, I
19 just want to address several things, but because
20 Mr. Roberts stood up, the way he did, I think I will
21 get to the issue. I was going to wait a little longer
22 to get to, housekeeping as you called it.

23 I believe, overall, the conduct of
24 Mr. Roberts is unethical and borderline outrageous. I
25 believe everything he just put on the record, he's an
26 intelligent enough attorney, to have forethought or

1
2 foreseen.

3 And, I believe that much of it, including
4 Project Renewal and the City's Law Department's urging
5 of the staff at the facility to press charges against
6 me was by design.

7 And, so, I want to say, in general, I'm
8 concerned not only about my criminal liability going
9 forward, but being denied fair access to this Court and
10 its procedures by counsel playing a game of trying to
11 outmaneuver a pro se layperson litigant.

12 I have a very simple solution, because I know
13 my way around the Court and Court procedure. How about
14 if I just agree on the record here that we are not
15 going to discuss any of the specific matters around the
16 arrest, because I'm a grown enough woman to understand
17 my own criminal liability. Let me absolve your fears.

18 Mr. Roberts is making excuses about not
19 wanting to interact with me, because -- those excuses
20 are unfounded -- I will have to deal with the potential
21 criminal liability.

22 You have done your duty by informing the
23 Court of your non-desire to have me to elicit criminal
24 facts. I believe that the instant matter that he is
25 referring to will come up in general terms, but my plan
26 is to make an argument that is broad and around the

1
2 pattern of behavior of the respondent. So, I don't
3 have any requirement here in this Court today or later
4 to go into the specific details with Mr. Roberts
5 thereby somehow jeopardizing my only criminal liability
6 in the Bronx matter.

7 I also want to point out, your Honor, that,
8 ever since Mr. Roberts asked me to concede to an
9 adjourn date across the street, in a separate matter,
10 and I refused, the last time we were here in person,
11 his conduct and behavior to me has been distant, to say
12 the least.

13 And, again, it appears now, in hindsight,
14 that, what he was doing was by design. He was
15 distancing himself in not speaking to me including in
16 realtime as incidents unfolded that could have been
17 resolved without going to court.

18 I informed Mr. Roberts, the day I was
19 arrested, that day, that there were serious, serious
20 safety concerns at the facility including outside
21 people entering the facility that he or other City
22 Council or even counsel for Project Renewal could
23 absolve or resolve excuse me and they did not.

24 I would like to get to the matter here and
25 give you a little more background on what happened
26 since Thursday, only because it pertains to my filings

1
2 on Friday.

3 And, I also just want to say that the Order
4 that I got, that your Honor granted, the TRO, I don't
5 remember if I was so specific in the relief that I
6 sought to name Wind West or exclusively name Wind West
7 as the facility that I didn't want to be transferred
8 to, however, the wording in that Order has allowed the
9 respondents to effectively suspend my services knowing
10 that the reliefs in this order was not specific enough
11 to help me. And, I was helpless having to do sex work
12 since last week. And, on that regard, I will have a
13 seat now and relax my argument.

14 Your Honor, one of the first days I came in
15 front of you, you sort of responded to me saying that
16 "I was doing sex work" in a stunned way, which is
17 understandable or it appeared to be.

18 I'm a woman who is a sex worker. And only,
19 because of laws, local to New York, prostitution is
20 expressly illegal. I do not have shame. And, I
21 believe that they are relevant to this case.

22 If the respondents truly believe that when
23 I'm not in the shelter, I'm out of the system, and what
24 I'm doing is sex work, unless they don't believe that,
25 then that does play to their motivation in their
26 actions to suspend my services. So, you knew I would

Proceedings

8

1
2 be homeless. They knew I would be doing sex work and
3 they did what they did anyway.

4 If your Honor would like, I said I could
5 explain what happened, not to the incident itself, but
6 how I ended up having the need to file a new motion
7 before this Court.

8 MR. ROBERTS: So, your Honor, I don't know
9 if you had, had a chance to read the answer that I
10 submitted.

11 THE COURT: I did.

12 MR. ROBERTS: So, there is a long history
13 now of Ms. Lopez defiantly violating rules and when
14 asked to comply with rules directly saying no, she
15 won't. In going forward, they include sleeping with
16 other clients, having candles, bringing wine into the
17 facility and bringing food. There is also a long
18 history of her threatening other employees of the
19 facility.

20 We have here today -- if you want to have
21 witnesses, we have both the witness -- four of the five
22 people who signed affidavits. The other client
23 facilitator, I think his name is Ogle (phonetic) -- I'm
24 forgetting his name. He's not here, but the other four
25 are here and you can hear from them if you would like.

26 But, on Wednesday, last week, I did, at the

Proceedings

9

1
2 beginning of the day, when I was working on these
3 papers, receive a phone call from Ms. Lopez, which I
4 took to say, would I like to settle the case by
5 completely reorganizing the management of Project
6 Renewal. I did not view that as a productive effort on
7 the day I was trying to file the papers.

8 Later that day, she got in multiple arguments
9 with staff, hit a staff member, the police came, the
10 police cuffed her, she resisted arrest, she bit a
11 police officer, they took her to the hospital. They
12 took the police officer to the hospital. That was when
13 your Order said she had to comply with the rules. She
14 didn't.

15 She was then suspended. I notified the Court
16 of the suspension on Thursday. We now want -- the
17 suspension is expiring today. We would like again to
18 place her. We have transferred her to a new facility
19 she simply cannot go back to a facility that she is so
20 consistently disrupting and attacked the staff.

21 Like, I got to say, this facility, this
22 organization, Project Renewal, takes its security
23 seriously. Two years ago the shelter's boss, the head,
24 the director of one of the shelters, was assassinated
25 by a client, was shot in the street by a client of the
26 shelter. So, the proposition that the safety of the

Proceedings

1
2 employees is something that is not of concern should
3 really be put aside. The safety is important.

4 And, as of Friday, Ms. Lopez came back to the
5 shelter and went to one of the directors and told him
6 that she thought she knew where he lived and she would
7 have his fucking head blown off.

8 This is totally unacceptable behavior and she
9 has to be transferred to a facility with better mental
10 health capacity, which is what we have done. And, I
11 beg you to respect the professional judgment and look
12 at this entire history of disruption since day one that
13 Ms. Lopez has arrived.

14 I don't know why she's done this, but she's
15 disrupted everything about this facility which is
16 trying to get its legs under it. It's only three
17 months old. Please, let us transfer her. That's all
18 that is at stake.

19 Today the suspension comes to an end. Today
20 it is just a question of transfer.

21 MR. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, I would briefly
22 like to explain my client's position. My client,
23 Project Renewal, is non-for-profit agency that operates
24 shelters around the city.

25 In this instance, Marsha's House is a shelter
26 specifically designed to provide food, housing, shelter

Proceedings

1
2 to the LGBT community.

3 My client has been trying to do the best that
4 they can to provide these services to those that are in
5 need. However, since Ms. Lopez has become a resident
6 of this facility, she's repeatedly violated the shelter
7 rules.

8 In addition to, you know, the numerous
9 violations such as, you know, missing curfew on a
10 regular basis, sneaking wine into the building, she's
11 threaten and harassed not only the staff of Project
12 Renewal, but also other residents.

13 Numerous staff members and other residents
14 have come forward and stated that they do not feel
15 comfortable living there anymore. And, they do not
16 feel safe.

17 In fact, numerous staff members have,
18 actually said that they won't come to work with her in
19 the building, because they just don't feel safe.

20 As Mr. Roberts just said, we take this matter
21 especially seriously, because not too long ago the
22 shelter director for Project Renewal was murdered on
23 her way to her car leaving the shelter. You know with
24 the constant threats that Ms. Lopez has made -- and
25 it's outlined in the affidavit that Caton Grinnal
26 (phonetic) provided, who's also the shelter director of

Proceedings

12

1
2 Project Renewal, which is the very same position of our
3 prior employee who was murdered. That affidavit
4 specifically outlines with the constant threats, morale
5 is very low.

6 You know, the very same employees that
7 Project Renewal relies upon to keep the shelter
8 operating and to provide the services that people like
9 Ms. Lopez need, don't feel comfortable coming to work.
10 And, we can't continue to operate the facility, you
11 know, with this going on.

12 You know, so based upon the continued pattern
13 of misconduct, we submitted our suspension request on
14 the City. Now, it was the City's decision to transfer
15 her. It is the City's decision that's at issue. But,
16 we stand by the information that we provided the City
17 for them to make their decision.

18 THE COURT: All right.

19 MR. CONNOLLY: We think it would be best for
20 all parties, Ms. Lopez included, to have her
21 transferred to another facility. Like he said, we are
22 a new shelter. And, morale is very low. We have
23 employees that are willing to testify right now.

24 THE COURT: I heard you the first time. I
25 understand.

26 MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, I have yet to see

Proceedings

13

1
2 any of the affidavits. And, I think we discussed, the
3 day that your Honor granted the TRO officially, that,
4 if we are going to have issues -- if we are going to be
5 deciding evidentiary issues, including testimony from
6 anybody trying to place testimony against me, that
7 we'll -- then, we should have a hearing. I would be
8 asking for a hearing.

9 THE COURT: What about that, Mr. Roberts?

10 MR. ROBERTS: What about what? The hearing?
11 I have witnesses prepared. I do have -- I wanted to
12 submit to the Court two documents that are the incident
13 reports in which -- that I just eluded to, where
14 Ms. Lopez asked about the address of one of the staff
15 members, Mr. Barley and then came back on Friday and
16 told him that quote I showed your picture to everyone
17 in Fort Greene. I'm going to have my cousin's come and
18 blow your fucking head off.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Roberts, do we need a
20 hearing here?

21 MR. ROBERTS: I don't think you do need a
22 hearing, your Honor.

23 MR. CONNOLLY: I don't think so.

24 THE COURT: Why is that?

25 MR. ROBERTS: The transfer has been ample
26 evidence. The transfer is in no way harmful. There

Proceedings

1
2 has been no showing of irreparable harm. There has
3 been no showing of likelihood of success in the merits
4 and there is no need for a hearing. And, the transfer
5 could go forward.

6 If your Honor would like a hearing, we have
7 do have witnesses who can testify. But, I would like
8 the record to include these two documents, if I could,
9 that have not been -- that post date the answer, so --

10 THE COURT: They post date the answer?

11 MR. ROBERTS: The one of them predates the
12 answer, because it was really irrelevant, but --

13 THE COURT: Ms. Lopez?

14 MS. LOPEZ: I will wait until --

15 THE COURT: I see these?

16 MS. LOPEZ: Yeah. I wasn't -- I actually
17 have something else. I have a petition.

18 THE COURT: Show it to Mr. Roberts before
19 you give it to me.

20 MS. LOPEZ: No, I was going to reference it
21 until I had the actual requisite copies, your Honor. I
22 have a -- I would like to go in order. So, I
23 apologize. Most of -- I got Mr. Roberts response on
24 the day I was released from --

25 THE COURT: You mean the answer?

26 MS. LOPEZ: The answer. My apologies. The

Proceedings

15

1
2 answer, the day I got out of Central Bookings. The
3 fact that Mr. Roberts, as an officer of the Court, knew
4 that I had just been released from custody and I was
5 effectively homeless -- he is an attorney for the City,
6 he has access to my records, he knows where I can and
7 cannot go, so he served -- I got the answer the day I
8 was made homeless.

9 (Petitioner talks to dog.)

10 Actually, she just reminded me of something.
11 And, the City as well as Project Renewal in most of
12 their actions have taken into no account the fact that
13 moving means so much. It impacts my service animal, a
14 completely healthy well rounded -- well, she has
15 allergies -- well conditioned animal, who is certified
16 and safe, from City Animal Care and Control. I will
17 pause it again.

18 First of all, there were many inaccuracies in
19 the Project Renewal's response, what they put on the
20 record. That's why I am insisting on a hearing.

21 First off, the incident report that he just
22 handed up, the shelter director is not a shelter
23 director. His name is Mr. Barley. I'm aware of the
24 allegations. And, he is a staff member that has
25 received more complaints about physical aggression and
26 there is footage of him openly intoxicated while on

Proceedings

16

1
2 duty that I would happily present in a hearing that was
3 taped by many of the clients.

4 MR. CONNOLLY: For the record, your Honor --

5 MS. LOPEZ: I didn't interrupt you.

6 THE COURT: Don't do that.

7 MS. LOPEZ: I didn't interrupt you. He's
8 not a shelter director. If you are going to have a
9 hearing to hear what he has to say, then your Honor, I
10 would get you a copy of this petition, but every
11 signature in this petition represents a human, living
12 person, who is poor and could not get on the train this
13 morning to get here, that signed a petition in support
14 of the changes I'm trying to bring about.

15 And, I will address the fact that your Honor
16 instructed me not to -- sort of keep my head down and
17 to comply by all the rules when I got there. But,
18 since the TRO was granted, I started organizing, in a
19 civilized way, which is, I think, the most concerning
20 to the City attorneys. I believe that the ten page
21 report that I wrote is telling and disturbing for more
22 than one reason.

23 Project Renewal and City has represented over
24 and over and over, your Honor, "This is a new facility.
25 This is a new facility." There's no person in New York
26 that is more experienced at opening new facilities than

Proceedings

17

1
2 me. Joel A. V. Giuliani, I'm Joel A. Jean Dole v. I'm
3 Jean Dole, Mariah Lopez V. Malory, I'm Mariah Lopez.
4 Every single bed in social services that exists today,
5 that did not exist before 1998, I had a direct hand in
6 the opening of those programs. There are witnesses
7 from the department of -- from Administration for
8 Children Services including their commissioner, deputy
9 commissioner, that are willing to come in and provide
10 testimony only to the limited fact that I'm an expert
11 for new programs geared for young people. Now, I'm
12 just referencing these people. I'm going to sit down
13 in a second, your Honor.

14 But, everything that the City and Project
15 Renewal presents to the Court, every document, every
16 bit of it, has been from staff and people that either
17 have a conflict of interest, because they are now
18 subjects of departmental investigation, investigation
19 that I started last week.

20 And, just for background, the individual that
21 accused me of something on Wednesday is being reviewed
22 by the Bronx District Attorney's Office for a potential
23 crime in potentially offering material good in exchange
24 for a person not providing information to the police
25 about an aggravated harassment.

26 So, all I would be asking is this. The

Proceedings

1
2 answer only speaks to actions before the TRO was
3 granted. That much I did get a chance to see in the --
4 you know, through the fog of getting out of Central
5 Bookings. So, I did see the answer.

6 I couldn't respond, your Honor, to every
7 single thing they said, like, I didn't sneak wine.
8 There was a little souvenir bottle from a baby shower I
9 went to that I forgot was in my bag. That's the wine
10 they are referencing. I could speak to the fact that
11 there are candles burning in most of the staff offices,
12 but when I tried to light a candle in my room for
13 religious purposes, which I comply and put out, they
14 are making the biggest deal of.

15 Every bit of their testimony that he has
16 provided or information he just provided is an
17 exaggeration, your Honor. I have nowhere else safe to
18 go. And, besides the fact that I believe that through
19 an evidentiary hearing, I will just -- I would totally
20 pull the rug out from all of their allegations.
21 Besides that, I'm a disabled person with a medical
22 psychiatric disability.

23 Every single allegation they have made are
24 ones that do not endanger other clients and that the
25 majority of the clients that live there, the people
26 this facility was built for, actually, have signed the

1
2 petition, specifically, to get Caton Grinnal out of the
3 facility, but expressly, to you, I think he said it, to
4 overhaul the administration of the facility. So,
5 again, I'm going to sit down. There isn't anybody
6 that's better to have that starting facility. Just
7 starting a facility isn't grounds to just act
8 inappropriately.

9 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Roberts, where
10 are we with the --

11 MS. LOPEZ: Response phase.

12 THE COURT: -- with the TRO and the stay?
13 Where are we, again?

14 MR. ROBERTS: You granted --

15 THE COURT: You transferred her out of
16 Marsha's House, because of these events, these alleged
17 events, right?

18 MR. ROBERTS: She was suspended.

19 THE COURT: She was suspended, fine.

20 MR. ROBERTS: Which is indeed different.

21 THE COURT: Right.

22 MR. ROBERTS: Now, the transfer -- she has
23 not been served with a transfer order, but we are
24 prepared to do that today, based on the assaults and
25 the history and the assaults from last Thursday.

26 THE COURT: What is it that you want me to

Proceedings

1
2 do?

3 MR. ROBERTS: What I want you to do is lift
4 to the extent there is -- I want you to lift the stay
5 against the transfer to the Wind Center. The Wind
6 Center was -- there is one other facility that they are
7 contemplating, but the Wind Center we think is the
8 better facility. But, there is also a facility in
9 Brooklyn called Magnolia House which has a bed, which
10 has psychiatric facilities and mental health facilities
11 we think are appropriate and needed.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You answered
13 my question. What is it that you want in a nutshell?

14 MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, I'm going to move
15 that my TRO remain in effect and, that, I move that it
16 be modified to include -- to remove any specific
17 facility so that it allows -- it doesn't allow them,
18 procedurally, to transfer me anywhere. So, Wind
19 West -- with the order as it stands, your Honor, it
20 just says they can't transfer me to Wind West. I want
21 to point that out too in my trying to point out the
22 mechanizations of Mr. Roberts.

23 The suspension of my service is different.
24 And, so, what I would be moving is to amend -- if I
25 have to do it on papers, I will do that -- to amend the
26 TRO to include that until this proceeding reaches its

1
2 finality, that, they cannot suspend my services without
3 coming back, specifically, in front of this Court.

4 Something similar to how Judges in Criminal Court write
5 in limited orders of protections "Make those orders
6 subject to Family Court."

7 What's happening right now, which is normal
8 litigation, it has to do with where I sleep and live.
9 And, if the respondents are going to make decisions
10 after hours or ex parte or without the Court's
11 knowledge directly or review, I believe that those
12 actions will harm me. I also think, your Honor, that
13 the TRO should remain in effect, because I do face
14 irreparable harm if I am moved.

15 Every single facility -- I'm just sitting
16 here hearing Mr. Roberts and counsel for Project
17 Renewal mention facilities that I have a line of
18 witnesses, that are trans, since the last proceeding to
19 say, "Oh, hell no, that's where they stick us when they
20 want us out of their hair" or "We have enumerable
21 problems there with the other clients." I pause it
22 again. The only facility where a open and transgender
23 person in the Department of Homeless Services that does
24 not want to face harassment from either the outside
25 community outing them as being trans or you know or the
26 people within, is Project Renewal Marsha's House.

Proceedings

22

1
2 I believe that what's happening at this point
3 is they are trying to move to dissolve the TRO, your
4 Honor, so they can sort of go about their normal
5 business.

6 I brought my case in front of this Court,
7 because their normal goings on, isn't an everyday
8 business. It is impacting me to the point where I
9 might be harmed by going in the street.

10 THE COURT: Okay. What are you seeking in
11 Federal Court today?

12 MS. LOPEZ: I'm, actually, going to seek,
13 your Honor --

14 THE COURT: What are you seeking?

15 MS. LOPEZ: I'm seeking a relief. But, let
16 me explain. There might be monetary --

17 THE COURT: What relief?

18 MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, I'm not an attorney.
19 Let me explain.

20 THE COURT: What was your application to the
21 Judge?

22 MS. LOPEZ: I didn't ask -- in my rushing
23 yesterday, in my haste, I merely informed the Judge of
24 what was going on. And, I then asked that he might
25 look at the papers, that they review it. I didn't
26 expressly ask for relief the same way. I'm aware that

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Proceedings

I would be coming here today.

THE COURT: Thank you. And, you have no knowledge of which --

MS. LOPEZ: I have the papers.

MR. CONNOLLY: I believe it was the same relief requested here.

THE COURT: Okay. What about I don't decide anything right now, wait until you see Judge Caproni, then come back here in the afternoon, how about that, and report to me? Or better yet, better yet, you don't have to come back. You can make a call and advise Mr. Casper what happened in Federal Court just for my edification and I will issue a decision on the stay today.

MR. CONNOLLY: Your Honor, the only thing I will say is, you have both sides papers and the --

THE COURT: I don't have the Federal Court papers.

MR. CONNOLLY: No, there was no case in Federal Court. It was just a letter Ms. Lopez submitted yesterday.

THE COURT: I don't have that.

MR. CONNOLLY: No, I mean, for this instant matter. In other words, if you are well versed with the facts, your Honor --

Proceedings

1
2 THE COURT: I know. It depends. If I were
3 to render a decision and then Judge Caproni could do
4 something -- you know, I just don't see how that might
5 be a good thing.

6 MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, I'm here too. I'm
7 an adult. If you granted a TRO that I'm asking for, it
8 would make the issue across the street moot.

9 THE COURT: Of course, it would. But, I'm
10 not doing that right now.

11 MS. LOPEZ: Okay. So, your Honor, what I'm
12 attempting to do more than anything is get the Judge to
13 maybe inform them, without ordering them, that, the dog
14 and the placement -- because, all of what we're doing
15 was already said across the street.

16 If you are asking me what I'm doing, the
17 Judge in her ruling, in order to dissolve, insisted
18 that this facility was the safest place, that, they are
19 making all the same arguments absent some new
20 allegations. So, what I'm going to try to do is if the
21 judge would instruct them that this is the best place
22 without ordering them --

23 THE COURT: This is what I'm going to do
24 then. And, Judge Caproni can just do whatever she
25 wants. I'm lifting the stay against the transfer based
26 on the record before me and the balancing of the

Proceedings

25

equities here. But, I will issue a decision on the Article 78 and decide whether or not there will be a hearing. I'm not saying there will be a hearing yet. There might be a hearing. I will put in my decision whether there will be a hearing. That's it.

MS. LOPEZ: Your Honor, can I just --

THE COURT: That's my decision, Ms. Lopez.

MS. LOPEZ: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, your Honor.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings.

(Not certified unless signed in blue ink.)



Cheryl-Lee Lorient
Senior Court Reporter